1	Robert A. Mittelstaedt (State Bar No. 60359) ramittelstaedt@JonesDay.com	
2	Craig E. Stewart (State Bar No. 129530) cestewart@JonesDay.com	
3	JONES DAY 555 California Street, 26th Floor	
4	San Francisco, CA 94104	
5	Telephone: (415) 626-3939 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700	
6	Catherine T. Broderick (State Bar No. 251231)	
7	cbroderick@jonesday.com JONES DAY	
8	1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, CA 94303	
9	Telephone: (650) 739-3939 Facsimile: (650) 739-3900	
10	Attorneys for Defendant INTUIT INC.	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	NORTHERN DISTR	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	SIDHARTH HARIHARAN, individually	Case No. 11-CV-02509 SBA
15	and on behalf of all others similarly	
15 16	and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	DECLARATION OF CATHERINE T. BRODERICK IN SUPPORT OF
16	and on behalf of all others similarly	DECLARATION OF CATHERINE T. BRODERICK IN SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
16 17	and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	DECLARATION OF CATHERINE T. BRODERICK IN SUPPORT OF
16	and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  v.  ADOBE SYSTEMS INC., APPLE INC., GOOGLE INC., INTEL CORP., INTUIT	DECLARATION OF CATHERINE T. BRODERICK IN SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES
16 17 18	and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  v.  ADOBE SYSTEMS INC., APPLE INC.,	DECLARATION OF CATHERINE T. BRODERICK IN SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED
16 17 18 19	and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  v.  ADOBE SYSTEMS INC., APPLE INC., GOOGLE INC., INTEL CORP., INTUIT INC., LUCASFILM LTD., PIXAR, AND	DECLARATION OF CATHERINE T. BRODERICK IN SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED
16 17 18 19 20	and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  v.  ADOBE SYSTEMS INC., APPLE INC., GOOGLE INC., INTEL CORP., INTUIT INC., LUCASFILM LTD., PIXAR, AND DOES 1-200,	DECLARATION OF CATHERINE T. BRODERICK IN SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED
16 17 18 19 20 21	and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  v.  ADOBE SYSTEMS INC., APPLE INC., GOOGLE INC., INTEL CORP., INTUIT INC., LUCASFILM LTD., PIXAR, AND DOES 1-200,	DECLARATION OF CATHERINE T. BRODERICK IN SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  v.  ADOBE SYSTEMS INC., APPLE INC., GOOGLE INC., INTEL CORP., INTUIT INC., LUCASFILM LTD., PIXAR, AND DOES 1-200,	DECLARATION OF CATHERINE T. BRODERICK IN SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  v.  ADOBE SYSTEMS INC., APPLE INC., GOOGLE INC., INTEL CORP., INTUIT INC., LUCASFILM LTD., PIXAR, AND DOES 1-200,	DECLARATION OF CATHERINE T. BRODERICK IN SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  v.  ADOBE SYSTEMS INC., APPLE INC., GOOGLE INC., INTEL CORP., INTUIT INC., LUCASFILM LTD., PIXAR, AND DOES 1-200,	DECLARATION OF CATHERINE T. BRODERICK IN SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  v.  ADOBE SYSTEMS INC., APPLE INC., GOOGLE INC., INTEL CORP., INTUIT INC., LUCASFILM LTD., PIXAR, AND DOES 1-200,	DECLARATION OF CATHERINE T. BRODERICK IN SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  v.  ADOBE SYSTEMS INC., APPLE INC., GOOGLE INC., INTEL CORP., INTUIT INC., LUCASFILM LTD., PIXAR, AND DOES 1-200,	DECLARATION OF CATHERINE T. BRODERICK IN SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED

**DECLARATION OF CATHERINE T. BRODERICK** 1 2 I, Catherine T. Broderick, hereby declare and state: 3 1. I am an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the State of California and 4 before this Court. I am an associate at the law firm of Jones Day, counsel for Defendant Intuit 5 Inc. in the above captioned action. I make this Declaration in support of the Administrative 6 Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related. As one of the attorneys involved in the 7 defense of this action, unless as otherwise stated, I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in 8 this Declaration, and if called as a witness I could and would testify competently to them. 2. 9 Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the complaint from 10 Devine v. Adobe Systems Inc., Apple Inc., Google Inc., Intel Corp., Intuit Inc., Lucasfilm Ltd., 11 Pixar and Does 1 - 200, Case No. 11-CV-03539 HRL. 12 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the complaint from 13 Marshall v. Adobe Systems Inc., Apple Inc., Google Inc., Intel Corp., Intuit Inc., Lucasfilm Ltd., 14 Pixar and Does 1 - 200, Case No. 11-CV-03538 HRL. 15 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of the complaint from 16 Fichtner v. Adobe Systems Inc., Apple Inc., Google Inc., Intel Corp., Intuit Inc., Lucasfilm Ltd., 17 Pixar and Does 1 - 200, Case No. 11-CV-03540 PSG. 18 5. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of the complaint from 19 Stover v. Adobe Systems Inc., Apple Inc., Google Inc., Intel Corp., Intuit Inc., Lucasfilm Ltd., 20 *Pixar and Does 1* − 200, Case No. 11-CV-03541 PSG. 21 22 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the 23 foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 19th day of July, 2011, at Palo Alto, California. 24 25 /s/ Catherine T. Broderick 26 Catherine T. Broderick 27 28